	Case 2:20-cv-00886-JAM-CKD Docum	ent 9	Filed 09/30/20	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	ERNANIE LATORRE,	No	. 2:20-cv-00886	JAM-CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	OR	<u>RDER</u>	
14	RAYMUNDO CALARO, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16		I		
17	This matter is set for a status conference to be held on October 7, 2020. A review of the			
18	docket demonstrates that none of the thirty-two defendants named in plaintiff's complaint have			
19	been served. Pursuant to this court's order, plaintiff had 90 days to serve defendants with process			
20	in this action; plaintiff was similarly ordered to file a certificate of service concurrently with such			
21	service. (ECF No. 6 at 1-2.) Those deadlines have passed, and plaintiff has not filed those			
22	documents with the court.			
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4			
24	If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the courton motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff-			
25	-must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the			
26	plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.			
27				
28	Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). While the court would be within its discretion to dismiss the complaint in			
		1		

Case 2:20-cv-00886-JAM-CKD Document 9 Filed 09/30/20 Page 2 of 2 1 this case, as plaintiff has not shown good cause, after considering plaintiff's pro se status, and the 2 court's desire to determine this action on the merits, the court will grant an additional 60 days to 3 effectuate service on the defendants named in plaintiff's complaint. Plaintiff is advised to follow 4 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e), outlining the service of individuals. While plaintiff is not 5 required to hire a professional process server, considering the number of defendants in this action 6 and plaintiff's current failure to serve any of them, plaintiff may want to consider that option. 7 Regardless of whether plaintiff hires a process server, plaintiff must follow Federal Rule of Civil 8 Procedure 4 to properly effectuate service. Additionally, while plaintiff is granted an extension to 9 serve defendants, plaintiff is cautioned that if she fails to serve any defendant, or file the required 10 certificates of service, plaintiff's complaint will be subject to dismissal as to those defendants. 11 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that: 12 1. Plaintiff is granted an additional sixty days, from the date of this order, to 13 effectuate service on the named defendants. 14 2. The Initial Scheduling Conference currently set for October 7, 2020 is 15 VACATED; the court will reschedule this hearing if it is deemed necessary. 16 Dated: September 29, 2020 17 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 Jr.866.ext 22 23 24

25

26

27

28